

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,223	06/30/2006	Anatoli Stobbe	STOBBE-17 PCT	9228
25889 COLLARD &	7590 07/28/200 ROE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1077 NORTHI	ERN BOULEVARD	HU, JENNIFER F		
ROSLYN, NY	11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/585,223	STOBBE, ANATOLI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JENNIFER F. HU	2821	

	JENNIFER F. HU	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sist forth in (b) above, if checked, Any repty received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wil AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowon-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) provided how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			

/Douglas W Owens/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2821

/JENNIFER F HU/

Examiner, Art Unit 2821

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1 has been amended with the subject matter of claims 2, 3 and 5, Claims 3 and 5 were previously rejected under 35 f03(a) as obvious over van Herend. Applicant has arqued that van Heerden does not teach the meandering line fabric antenna as claimed, but has not provided convincing arguments as to why the claim is non-obvious over van Heerden. Since the process of weaving fabrics is well known, and a meandering line antenna is a well known modification of a straight line antenna is a well whown modification of a straight line antenna is a well whown modification of a straight line antenna is a well whown modification of a straight line antenna is a well whown modification of a straight line antenna is a well whom the subject matter of claims 3 and 5 are considered obvious over van Heerden.